

Additivity questions of quantum channels

Motohisa Fukuda

1 Introduction

- States and channels
- Minimum output entropy and Holevo capacity
- Remarks on MOE and HC

2 Additivity question and violation

- Statement of additivity violation
- Consequences
- Open problems

3 Examples

- Towards non-additivity examples
- Additivity examples

Quantum states and channels

- 1 A quantum state ρ (in finite dimension) is a positive semi-definite Hermitian operator of trace one on a Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^n .
- 2 A physical picture of (quantum) channels with a k -dimensional environment is ¹

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^k} [U(ee^* \otimes \rho)U^*]$$

Here, $e \in \mathbb{C}^k$ is a fixed unit vector in the environment and $U \in \mathcal{U}(kn)$ is a unitary matrix.

¹ $e = |e\rangle$ and $e^* = \langle e|$ in the conventional bra-ket notation.

Complementary channels

- 1 When the input $\rho = xx^*$ is a rank-one projection the following two matrices share the same non-zero eigenvalues.

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^k} [U(ee^* \otimes xx^*)U^*] \sim \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n} [U(ee^* \otimes xx^*)U^*]$$

- 2 Indeed, $U(e \otimes x) \in \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ has the Schmidt decomposition:

$$\sum_i r_i |u_i\rangle \otimes |v_i\rangle$$

where $r_i > 0$, and $\{u_i\}, \{v_i\}$ are orthonormal in \mathbb{C}^k and \mathbb{C}^n .

- 3 We define the complementary channel of Φ by ²

$$\Phi^C(\rho) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^n} [U(ee^* \otimes \rho)U^*]$$

²[Holevo], [King, Matsumoto, Nathanson, Ruskai].

Minimum output entropy (MOE)

- ① The von Neumann entropy $S(\cdot)$ of quantum state ρ is:

$$S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}[\rho \log \rho] = -\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \log \lambda_i$$

where λ_i are eigenvalues of ρ . Note that

$$S(\rho \otimes \sigma) = S(\rho) + S(\sigma)$$

- ② The minimal output entropy of channel Φ is defined by

$$S_{\min}(\Phi) = \min_{\rho} S(\Phi(\rho))$$

where ρ are input states. ³

³[King and Ruskai]

Holevo capacity (HC)

- 1 Holevo capacity of channel Φ is defined as: ⁴

$$\chi(\Phi) = \max_{p_i, \rho_i} S(\Phi(\sum_i p_i \rho_i)) - \sum_i p_i S(\Phi(\rho_i))$$

where $\{p_i, \rho_i\}$ is an ensemble.

- 2 We have an easy bound:

$$\chi(\Phi) \leq \log d - S_{\min}(\Phi)$$

- 3 The above bound is satiated when, for example,

$$\Phi(U_g \rho U_g^*) = U_g \Phi(\rho) U_g^*$$

where $g \mapsto U_g$ is an irreducible adjoint representation. ⁵

⁴[Holevo], [Schumacher and Westmoreland]

⁵[Holevo]

Remarks on MOE and HC

- 1 MOE measures purity of channels by considering optimal output while HC is connected to the capacity $C(\cdot)$:

$$C(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \chi(\Phi^{\otimes n})$$

- 2 Since von Neumann entropy is concave, MOE is achieved by pure input states. So,

$$S_{\min}(\Phi) = S_{\min}(\Phi^C)$$

- 3 To calculate HC, we need to know the geometry of output states, and in general

$$\chi(\Phi) \neq \chi(\Phi^C)$$

Additivity violation

- 1 Write quantum channels:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^k} [U(ee^* \otimes \rho)U^*]$$

and their complex conjugate channels:

$$\bar{\Phi}(\rho) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{C}^k} [\bar{U}(ee^* \otimes \rho)U^T]$$

- 2 Then, we have additivity violation ⁶ ; for some channels Φ ,

$$S_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \bar{\Phi}) < S_{\min}(\Phi) + S_{\min}(\bar{\Phi})$$

- 3 Note that, for any channels Φ and Ω ,

$$\min_{\rho \otimes \sigma} S((\Phi \otimes \Omega)(\rho \otimes \sigma)) = \min_{\rho} S(\Phi(\rho)) + \min_{\sigma} S(\Omega(\sigma))$$

⁶[Hastings]: more precisely, random unitary channels are used.

Entangled inputs can improve the capacity - sketchy

- 1 We know that there is a channel such that

$$S_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \bar{\Phi}) = S_{\min}(\Phi) + S_{\min}(\bar{\Phi}) - \epsilon \quad \text{where } \epsilon > 0$$

- 2 This implies ⁷ that $\Omega = \Phi \oplus \bar{\Phi}$ gives

$$S_{\min}(\Omega^{\otimes 2}) = 2S_{\min}(\Omega) - \epsilon$$

Then, there exists ⁸ a channel Ψ such that

$$\chi(\Psi \otimes \Psi) \geq 2\chi(\Psi) + \epsilon$$

where $\chi(\cdot)$ is the Holevo capacity.

- 3 So, we have

$$C(\Psi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \cdot \chi(\Psi^{\otimes 2n}) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \cdot \chi(\Psi^{\otimes 2}) = \chi(\Psi) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Entangled inputs improve the classical capacity: $C(\cdot)$.

⁷[Fukuda and Wolf]

⁸[Shor]

Additivity question for regularized quantities

- 1 Classical capacity:

$$C(\Phi \otimes \Omega) \stackrel{?}{=} C(\Phi) + C(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \forall \Phi \neq \Omega$$

- 2 Regularized minimum output entropy:

$$\bar{S}_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \Omega) \stackrel{?}{=} \bar{S}_{\min}(\Phi) + \bar{S}_{\min}(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \forall \Phi \neq \Omega$$

Here,

$$\bar{S}_{\min}(\Phi) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \cdot S_{\min}(\Phi^{\otimes N})$$

- 3 Non-additivity of $\bar{S}_{\min}(\cdot)$ implies non-additivity of $C(\cdot)$.⁹

⁹See, for example, [Fukuda]

Finding counterexamples

- 1 Can we find concrete examples for additivity violation?
- 2 Concrete counterexamples for the following additivity violation were found: ¹⁰

$$S_{p,\min}(\Phi \otimes \Phi) < S_{p,\min}(\Phi) + S_{p,\min}(\Phi) \quad p > 2$$

Here,

$$S_{p,\min}(\Phi) = \min_{\rho} S_p(\Phi(\rho))$$

where S_p is the Renyi p -entropy.

- 3 Concrete counterexamples for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ are still open.

¹⁰[Grudka, M. Horodecki, Pankowski]

Important examples for additivity

- 1 Entanglement-breaking channels: [Shor][King]
- 2 Depolarizing channels: [King]
- 3 Unital qubit channels: [King]

For the above channels Φ , we know that, with any channel Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \Omega) &= S_{\min}(\Phi) + S_{\min}(\Omega) \\ \chi(\Phi \otimes \Omega) &= \chi(\Phi) + \chi(\Omega)\end{aligned}$$

In particular, with any channel Ω ,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \Omega) &= \bar{S}_{\min}(\Phi) + \bar{S}_{\min}(\Omega) \\ C(\Phi \otimes \Omega) &= C(\Phi) + C(\Omega)\end{aligned}$$