

# Braided categories of endomorphisms in QFT<sup>1</sup>

Luca Giorgetti

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata  
giorgett@mat.uniroma2.it

München, 27-28 May 2016, 38th LQP Workshop



---

<sup>1</sup>joint work with K.-H. Rehren, see [arXiv:1512.01995v1]

after [Doplicher, Haag, Roberts 69-74]:

**RCFTs** (as Haag-Kastler nets)

**UMTCs** (as in Mac Lane's book)

$$\{I \subset \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)\} \xrightarrow{\text{DHR construction}} \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$$

- $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  open bounded intervals,  $\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I)''$  local observables
- Möb  $\curvearrowright$   $\mathbb{R}$  and covariantly on  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- $\exists!$  vacuum vector  $\Omega$ , split property, Haag duality (on  $\mathbb{R}$ )
- **Rationality** = finite number of superselection sectors (positive energy i.e. DHR representations)
  - Examples: Virasoro ( $c < 1$ ) minimal models,  $SU(N)$ -currents, orbifolds [cf. Marcel's talk], tensor products, finite index extensions

- "objects" = DHR endomorphisms  $\rho, \sigma, \text{id}, \dots$  of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- "arrows" = intertwiners  $t : \rho \rightarrow \sigma$
- "tensor product" = composition  $\rho \times \sigma = \rho \sigma$
- "braiding" =  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} : \rho \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rho$  subject to "commutative diagrams"
- **Modularity** = non-degeneracy condition on the braiding

[Fredenhagen, Rehren, Schroer 92],  
[Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger 01]

after [Doplicher, Haag, Roberts 69-74]:

**RCFTs** (as Haag-Kastler nets)

**UMTCs** (as in Mac Lane's book)

$$\{I \subset \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)\} \xrightarrow{\text{DHR construction}} \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$$

- $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  open bounded intervals,  $\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I)''$  **local observables**
- Möb  $\curvearrowright \mathbb{R}$  and covariantly on  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- $\exists!$  vacuum vector  $\Omega$ , split property, Haag duality (on  $\mathbb{R}$ )
- **Rationality** = finite number of superselection sectors (positive energy i.e. DHR representations)
  - Examples: Virasoro ( $c < 1$ ) minimal models,  $SU(N)$ -currents, orbifolds [cf. Marcel's talk], tensor products, finite index extensions

- "objects" = **DHR endomorphisms**  $\rho, \sigma, \text{id}, \dots$  of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- "arrows" = intertwiners  $t : \rho \rightarrow \sigma$
- "tensor product" = composition  $\rho \times \sigma = \rho \sigma$
- "braiding" =  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} : \rho \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rho$  subject to "commutative diagrams"
- **Modularity** = non-degeneracy condition on the braiding

[Fredenhagen, Rehren, Schroer 92],  
[Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger 01]

after [Doplicher, Haag, Roberts 69-74]:

**RCFTs** (as Haag-Kastler nets)

**UMTCs** (as in Mac Lane's book)

$$\{I \subset \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)\} \xrightarrow{\text{DHR construction}} \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$$

- $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  open bounded intervals,  $\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I)''$  **local observables**
- Möb  $\curvearrowright \mathbb{R}$  and covariantly on  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- $\exists!$  vacuum vector  $\Omega$ , split property, Haag duality (on  $\mathbb{R}$ )
- **Rationality** = finite number of superselection sectors (positive energy i.e. DHR representations)
  - Examples: Virasoro ( $c < 1$ ) minimal models,  $SU(N)$ -currents, orbifolds [cf. Marcel's talk], tensor products, finite index extensions

- "objects" = **DHR endomorphisms**  $\rho, \sigma, \text{id}, \dots$  of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- "arrows" = intertwiners  $t : \rho \rightarrow \sigma$
- "tensor product" = composition  $\rho \times \sigma = \rho \sigma$
- "braiding" =  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} : \rho \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \rho$  subject to "commutative diagrams"
- **Modularity** = non-degeneracy condition on the braiding

[Fredenhagen, Rehren, Schroer 92],  
[Kawahigashi, Longo, Müger 01]

Important **numerical invariants** of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  can be extracted from  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ :

- statistical dimensions  $d_\rho$  and phases  $\omega_\rho$  of  $\rho \in \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$   
 $\leadsto$  classification of DHR sectors  $[\rho]$  [DHR 71]
- modular matrices  $S, T$   
 $\leadsto$  structure of the DHR category [Rehren 88]

They have more analytic counterparts:

- $d_\rho \sim$  index of subfactors [Longo 89]
- $\omega_\rho \sim$  conformal spin [DHR 74], [Guido, Longo 96]
- $S, T \sim$  modular transformations of Virasoro characters [Verlinde 88]

They depend **only** on the **abstract UMTC class** of  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , i.e., different QFTs might give the same numbers, and “the same” category (according to Mac Lane).

Important **numerical invariants** of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  can be extracted from  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ :

- statistical dimensions  $d_\rho$  and phases  $\omega_\rho$  of  $\rho \in \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$   
 $\leadsto$  classification of DHR sectors  $[\rho]$  [DHR 71]
- modular matrices  $S, T$   
 $\leadsto$  structure of the DHR category [Rehren 88]

They have more analytic counterparts:

- $d_\rho \sim$  index of subfactors [Longo 89]
- $\omega_\rho \sim$  conformal spin [DHR 74], [Guido, Longo 96]
- $S, T \sim$  modular transformations of Virasoro characters [Verlinde 88]

They depend **only** on the **abstract UMTC class** of  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , i.e., different QFTs might give the same numbers, and “the same” category (according to Mac Lane).

Important **numerical invariants** of  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  can be extracted from  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ :

- statistical dimensions  $d_\rho$  and phases  $\omega_\rho$  of  $\rho \in \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$   
 $\leadsto$  classification of DHR sectors  $[\rho]$  [DHR 71]
- modular matrices  $S, T$   
 $\leadsto$  structure of the DHR category [Rehren 88]

They have more analytic counterparts:

- $d_\rho \sim$  index of subfactors [Longo 89]
- $\omega_\rho \sim$  conformal spin [DHR 74], [Guido, Longo 96]
- $S, T \sim$  modular transformations of Virasoro characters [Verlinde 88]

They depend **only** on the **abstract UMTC class** of  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , i.e., different QFTs might give the same numbers, and “the same” category (according to Mac Lane).

**Tensor products** are a source of RCFTs: given  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  let

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})(I) := \mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I) \text{ in } \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{B}}) \quad , \quad \Omega^{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} := \Omega^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Omega^{\mathcal{B}}$$

then

$$\{I \subset \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I)\} \longmapsto \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\} \boxtimes \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho \boxtimes \sigma, \tau \boxtimes \eta} := \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \tau}^{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \eta}^{\mathcal{B}}$$

In particular, if  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  has no non-vacuum DHR sectors, “holomorphic” RCFTs, i.e.,  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{Vec}$ , where  $[\text{id}] \simeq \mathbb{C}$  and  $[\text{id}] \oplus \dots \oplus [\text{id}] \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ , then

$$\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$$

because  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{Vec} \simeq \mathcal{C}$  for every  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ . However

$$\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \not\cong \{\mathcal{A}\}$$

unless  $\{\mathcal{B}\} \cong \{\mathbb{C}\}$ .



**Tensor products** are a source of RCFTs: given  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  let

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})(I) := \mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(I) \text{ in } \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{B}}) \quad , \quad \Omega^{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} := \Omega^{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \Omega^{\mathcal{B}}$$

then

$$\{I \subset \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I)\} \longmapsto \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\} \boxtimes \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho \boxtimes \sigma, \tau \boxtimes \eta} := \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \tau}^{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, \eta}^{\mathcal{B}}$$

In particular, if  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  has no non-vacuum DHR sectors, **“holomorphic” RCFTs**, i.e.,  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{Vec}$ , where  $[\text{id}] \simeq \mathbb{C}$  and  $[\text{id}] \oplus \dots \oplus [\text{id}] \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ , then

$$\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \simeq \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$$

because  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \text{Vec} \simeq \mathcal{C}$  for every  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear additive category  $\mathcal{C}$ . However

$$\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\} \not\cong \{\mathcal{A}\}$$

unless  $\{\mathcal{B}\} \cong \{\mathbb{C}\}$ .

**Question:** how to **complete** the DHR construction? [G, Rehren 15]

Observation:

$$\text{if } \rho, \sigma \text{ are resp. left/right localizable} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} = \mathbb{1}$$

- specific feature of the **DHR braiding** (missing on Mac Lane's book)
- refers to spacetime DHR "localizability" of  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  (here on  $\mathbb{R}$ )
- DHR endomorphisms often commute  $\rho\sigma = \sigma\rho$  (consequence of locality)
- $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} = \mathbb{1}$  + naturality = very definition of DHR braiding

**New input:** consider the whole **realization** of  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  as braided tensor category of endomorphisms of the net, or better, locally:

$\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  fixed local algebra  $\sim \mathcal{M}_0$  injective type  $III_1$  factor

$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  local DHR category  $\sim \mathcal{C}$  strict UMTC

$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \xrightarrow[\text{restr.}]{} \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0)) \sim \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_0)$

$$\rho \longmapsto \rho|_{\mathcal{A}(I_0)}$$

$$t \longmapsto t$$

- strict tensor functor
- faithful, full
- replete image

“**braided action**” of  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  on  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$

Isomorphism of nets  $\{\mathcal{A}\} \cong \{\mathcal{B}\}$ , i.e.,  $W : \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $W\mathcal{A}(I)W^* = \mathcal{B}(I)$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $W\Omega^{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega^{\mathcal{B}}$  gives an isomorphism of braided actions, i.e., **invariant for nets**:

$$\text{Ad}_W : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I_0), \quad \text{Ad}_W \circ \rho^{\mathcal{A}} \circ \text{Ad}_{W^*} = \rho^{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \text{Ad}_W(t^{\mathcal{A}}) = t^{\mathcal{B}}$$

**New input:** consider the whole **realization** of  $\text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  as braided tensor category of endomorphisms of the net, or better, locally:

$\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  fixed local algebra  $\sim \mathcal{M}_0$  injective type  $III_1$  factor

$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  local DHR category  $\sim \mathcal{C}$  strict UMTC

$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \xrightarrow[\text{restr.}]{} \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0)) \sim \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{M}_0)$

$$\rho \mapsto \rho|_{\mathcal{A}(I_0)}$$

$$t \mapsto t$$

- strict tensor functor

- faithful, full

- replete image

“**braided action**” of  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  on  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$

Isomorphism of nets  $\{\mathcal{A}\} \cong \{\mathcal{B}\}$ , i.e.,  $W : \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $W\mathcal{A}(I)W^* = \mathcal{B}(I)$ ,  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $W\Omega^{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega^{\mathcal{B}}$  gives an isomorphism of braided actions, i.e., **invariant for nets**:

$$\text{Ad}_W : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I_0), \quad \text{Ad}_W \circ \rho^{\mathcal{A}} \circ \text{Ad}_{W^*} = \rho^{\mathcal{B}}, \quad \text{Ad}_W(t^{\mathcal{A}}) = t^{\mathcal{B}}$$

Why “**braided**”? Forget for a moment the braiding and its realization.

Let  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  s.t.  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \simeq \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$  as abstract **tensor** categories, i.e.

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \xrightarrow[F]{\simeq} \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\rho^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(\rho)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$t^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(t)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

tensor  $F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) \cong F(\rho \times \sigma)$

(strict tensor if  $F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) = F(\rho \times \sigma)$ )

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$$

$$F \downarrow \cong$$

$$\downarrow F_V$$

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{B}(I_0))$$

- where  $F_V(\rho) := \text{Ad}_V \circ \rho \circ \text{Ad}_{V^*}$  “spatial” strict tensor functor [Popa 95], [Izumi 15] s.t.

$$\text{Ad}_V : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I_0), \quad F_V(\rho) \cong F(\rho), \quad \text{Ad}_V(t) \cong F(t)$$

$\Rightarrow$  there is a **unique action** of  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  on the injective type  $III_1$  factor, as a tensor category, where the equivalence is realized by  $F_V$

- however  $F_V$  need not be a braided equivalence:  $\text{Ad}_V(\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \neq \varepsilon_{F_V(\rho),F_V(\sigma)}^{\mathcal{B}}$

Why “**braided**”? Forget for a moment the braiding and its realization.

Let  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  s.t.  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \simeq \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$  as abstract **tensor** categories, i.e.

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \xrightarrow[F]{\simeq} \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\rho^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(\rho)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$t^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(t)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$\text{tensor } F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) \cong F(\rho \times \sigma)$$

$$(\text{strict tensor if } F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) = F(\rho \times \sigma))$$

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$$

$$F \downarrow \cong$$

$$\downarrow F_V$$

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{B}(I_0))$$

- where  $F_V(\rho) := \text{Ad}_V \circ \rho \circ \text{Ad}_{V^*}$  “spatial” strict tensor functor [Popa 95], [Izumi 15] s.t.

$$\text{Ad}_V : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I_0), \quad F_V(\rho) \cong F(\rho), \quad \text{Ad}_V(t) \cong F(t)$$

$\Rightarrow$  there is a **unique action** of  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  on the injective type  $III_1$  factor, as a tensor category, where the equivalence is realized by  $F_V$

- however  $F_V$  need **not** be a **braided equivalence**:  $\text{Ad}_V(\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \neq \varepsilon_{F_V(\rho),F_V(\sigma)}^{\mathcal{B}}$

Why “**braided**”? Forget for a moment the braiding and its realization.

Let  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{B}\}$  s.t.  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \simeq \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$  as abstract **tensor** categories, i.e.

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \xrightarrow[F]{\simeq} \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\rho^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(\rho)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

$$t^{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto F(t)^{\mathcal{B}}$$

tensor  $F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) \cong F(\rho \times \sigma)$

(strict tensor if  $F(\rho) \times F(\sigma) = F(\rho \times \sigma)$ )

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$$

$$F \downarrow \cong$$

$$\downarrow F_V$$

$$\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{B}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{B}(I_0))$$

- where  $F_V(\rho) := \text{Ad}_V \circ \rho \circ \text{Ad}_{V^*}$  “spatial” strict tensor functor [Popa 95], [Izumi 15] s.t.

$$\text{Ad}_V : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(I_0), \quad F_V(\rho) \cong F(\rho), \quad \text{Ad}_V(t) \cong F(t)$$

$\Rightarrow$  there is a **unique action** of  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$  on the injective type  $III_1$  factor, as a tensor category, where the equivalence is realized by  $F_V$

- however  $F_V$  need **not** be a **braided equivalence**:  $\text{Ad}_V(\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma}^{\mathcal{A}}) \neq \varepsilon_{F_V(\rho),F_V(\sigma)}^{\mathcal{B}}$

The braiding must play a role. How to use the DHR braiding feature:  $\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma} = \mathbb{1}$  ?

- Consider “abstract points” of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$ :

- **geometric** point  $p \in I_0$  i.e.  $I_0 = I_L \cup \{p\} \cup I_R$ ,  $I_L < I_R$

$$\{\mathcal{A}\} \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{A}(I_L), \mathcal{A}(I_R), \text{DHR}^{I_L}\{\mathcal{A}\}, \text{DHR}^{I_R}\{\mathcal{A}\})$$

- **abstract** point  $\hat{p}$  of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  w.r.t.  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$

$$\hat{p} := (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^c, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c}) + \text{conditions}$$

- “relative commutants” of subalgebras and subcategories, e.g.  $\mathcal{N}^c = \mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{A}(I_0)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^c = \mathcal{C}' \cap \text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$
- “duality relations” [Doplicher 82] subalgebras  $\leftrightarrow$  subcategories, e.g.  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}} = (\mathcal{N}^c)^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c})^\perp$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & \xleftrightarrow{\perp} & \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \\ \uparrow c & & \uparrow c \\ \mathcal{N}^c & \xleftrightarrow{\perp} & \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma} = \mathbb{1} \\ \rho \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}, \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \end{array}$$

- Algebra replaces geometry:

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{N})$  well defined,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$  fusion,  $\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma} = \mathbb{1}$  finite system of eqns



- Let  $p \in I_0$ ,  $t \mapsto \Lambda_{I_0}^t$  one-parameter group of dilations of  $I_0$ ,  $\Delta_\omega$  modular operator of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  w.r.t.  $\omega$ , then

$$\text{Ad}_{\Delta_\omega^{it}} : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I_0), \quad \Delta_\omega^{it} \hat{p} \Delta_\omega^{-it} \text{ again abstract point of } \mathcal{A}(I_0)$$

- $\omega = \text{vacuum}$ , [Bisognano-Wichmann]  $\Delta_\omega^{it} \hat{p} \Delta_\omega^{-it} = \hat{q}$ , where  $q = \Lambda_{I_0}^{-2\pi t}(p)$
  - $\omega = \text{any faithful normal state of } \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ , [Longo 97]  $\leadsto$  "fuzzy points"
- Similarly, let  $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$  unitary group of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$ , then

$$\text{Ad}_u : \mathcal{A}(I_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I_0), \quad u \hat{p} u^* \text{ again abstract point of } \mathcal{A}(I_0)$$

- $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}(I_1)), I_1 \subset I_0$  and  $p \notin I_1$ , then  $u \hat{p} u^* = \hat{p}$
  - otherwise  $u \hat{p} u^* \neq \hat{p} \leadsto$  "fat points"
- Both come from groups of **braided tensor autoequivalences** of the DHR braided action on  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$

- Let  $\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , embed “diagonally”  $\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I)\} \subset \{\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(J)\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$   
If  $p_1, p_2 \in I_0$ , take  $\hat{p}_1$  in  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  and  $\hat{p}_2$  in  $\mathcal{B}(I_0)$ , then

$$\hat{p}_1 \otimes \hat{p}_2 \text{ is an abstract point of } \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I_0)$$

geometric in  $I_0$  iff  $p_1 = p_2 \rightsquigarrow$  “2D points”

Abstract points lead far away from geometry (can be fuzzy, fat, 2D, ...), while geometric points  $p, q$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  are **totally ordered**:  $p \leq q$  or  $q \leq p$

- Why looking at abstract points?
  - identify a subfamily of RCFTs which can be classified, “prime conformal nets” (Idea: rule out  $\otimes$ -nets. Tools: prime decomposition of UMTCs [Müger 03] + structure of the two-interval inclusion [KLM 01])
  - give a way of classifying them by means of the DHR braided action

- Let  $\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , embed “diagonally”  $\{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I)\} \subset \{\mathcal{A}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(J)\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$   
If  $p_1, p_2 \in I_0$ , take  $\hat{p}_1$  in  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  and  $\hat{p}_2$  in  $\mathcal{B}(I_0)$ , then

$$\hat{p}_1 \otimes \hat{p}_2 \text{ is an abstract point of } \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(I_0)$$

geometric in  $I_0$  iff  $p_1 = p_2 \rightsquigarrow$  “2D points”

Abstract points lead far away from geometry (can be fuzzy, fat, 2D, ...), while geometric points  $p, q$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  are **totally ordered**:  $p \leq q$  or  $q \leq p$

- Why looking at abstract points?
  - identify a subfamily of RCFTs which can be classified, “prime” conformal nets  
(Idea: rule out  $\otimes$ -nets. Tools: prime decomposition of UMTCs [Müger 03]  
+ structure of the two-interval inclusion [KLM 01])
  - give a way of classifying them by means of the DHR braided action

Let  $\hat{p}, \hat{q}$  abstract points,  $\hat{p} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^c, \dots)$ ,  $\hat{q} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^c, \dots)$ , of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$

$\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c \subset (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc}$  “abstract” two-interval inclusion (cf. [KLM 01])

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \quad (= \text{DHR}^{\overline{pq}}\{\mathcal{A}\}) \quad \text{if } p, q \in I_0 \text{ and } p < q$$

- Abstract points can be “**algebraically compared**” by looking at two natural intermediate algebras in  $\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c \subset \dots \subset (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc}$ 
  - $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}})^\perp =$  fixed points algebra
  - $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}^c) =$  unitary charge transporters from  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}^c}$

$$\hat{p} \sim \hat{q} \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}})^\perp = \mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c & (1) \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}^c) = (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc} & (2) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{N} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}] \quad \begin{matrix} (1)' \\ (2)' \end{matrix}$$

- In the geometric case:
  - (1) conjecture of [Dop 82] in 4D, holds for RCFTs in 1D [GR 15]
  - (2) charge transporters generate relative commutants [KLM 01]

Let  $\hat{p}, \hat{q}$  abstract points,  $\hat{p} = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}^c, \dots)$ ,  $\hat{q} = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^c, \dots)$ , of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$

$\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c \subset (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc}$  “abstract” two-interval inclusion (cf. [KLM 01])

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}} \quad (= \text{DHR}^{\overline{pq}}\{\mathcal{A}\}) \quad \text{if } p, q \in I_0 \text{ and } p < q$$

- Abstract points can be “**algebraically compared**” by looking at two natural intermediate algebras in  $\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c \subset \dots \subset (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc}$ 
  - $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}})^\perp =$  fixed points algebra
  - $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}^c) =$  unitary charge transporters from  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}^c}$

$$\hat{p} \sim \hat{q} \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{N}^c} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}})^\perp = \mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c & (1) \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}^c) = (\mathcal{N} \vee \mathcal{M}^c)^{cc} & (2) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{N} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}] \quad (1)'$$

$$(2)'$$

- In the geometric case:
  - (1) conjecture of [Dop 82] in 4D, holds for RCFTs in 1D [GR 15]
  - (2) charge transporters generate relative commutants [KLM 01]

- Main fact:** let  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  prime conformal net,  $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \leq \widehat{q} \text{ or } \widehat{q} \leq \widehat{p}$ 
    - where  $\widehat{p} \leq \widehat{q} := \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}, \dots$  “algebraically ordered”
    - essential use of primality and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} = \mathbb{1}$  on both  $\widehat{p}$  and  $\widehat{q}$
  - Classification** (under two more conditions):
    - $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q} \sim \widehat{r} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \sim \widehat{r}$  (transitivity, for  $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q}$  or any  $\widehat{p} \approx \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q}$ )
    - $\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} = V\widehat{q}V^*$  (unitary equivalence, fixed  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , in prime nets)
- $\Rightarrow$  DHR braided action on a fixed local algebra  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$   
**completely classifies** prime conformal nets
- compute abstract points of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  w.r.t  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$
  - use previous “main fact”
  - use additivity of local algebras + Dedekind's completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$
  - algebraic Haag's theorem [Weiner 11]

- **Main fact:** let  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  prime conformal net,  $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \leq \widehat{q} \text{ or } \widehat{q} \leq \widehat{p}$ 
    - where  $\widehat{p} \leq \widehat{q} := \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}, \dots$  “algebraically ordered”
    - essential use of primality and  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho, \sigma} = \mathbb{1}$  on both  $\widehat{p}$  and  $\widehat{q}$
  - **Classification** (under two more conditions):
    - $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q} \sim \widehat{r} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \sim \widehat{r}$  (transitivity, for  $\widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q}$  or any  $\widehat{p} \approx \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} \sim \widehat{q}$ )
    - $\widehat{p}, \widehat{q} \Rightarrow \widehat{p} = V\widehat{q}V^*$  (unitary equivalence, fixed  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ , in prime nets)
- $\Rightarrow$  DHR braided action on a fixed local algebra  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$   
**completely classifies** prime conformal nets
- compute abstract points of  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  w.r.t  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$
  - use previous “main fact”
  - use additivity of local algebras + Dedekind's completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$
  - algebraic Haag's theorem [Weiner 11]

- Question: how to **complete** the DHR construction  $\{\mathcal{A}\} \mapsto \text{DHR}\{\mathcal{A}\}$ ? (i.e. add more invariants on the DHR side)
- New input: **DHR braided action** on local algebras  $\text{DHR}^{I_0}\{\mathcal{A}\} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{A}(I_0))$  (sits sharply between subfactor theory/tensor categories and QFT)
- Exploit  $\varepsilon_{\rho,\sigma} = \mathbb{1}$ : consider **abstract points**  $\hat{p}$ , compare them  $\hat{p} \sim \hat{q}$ , characterize (using abstract points) prime conformal nets (rule out  $\otimes$ -nets)
- Aim: **reconstruct** (not spacetime, but) **local algebras**  $\{\mathcal{A}\}$  inside  $\mathcal{A}(I_0)$  using  $\hat{p} \sim \hat{q} \Rightarrow \hat{p} \leq \hat{q}$  or  $\hat{q} \leq \hat{p}$
- **Open questions:** find other degeneracies of the DHR construction, find examples of prime conformal nets, improve algebraic conditions, realizability problem for UMTCs