

Non-linear facets of the electromagnetic quantum field

Giuseppe Ruzzi

Roma "Tor Vergata"

Munich 27.05.2016

Outline

- 1 The linear e.m. quantum field
- 2 The universal C^* -algebra
- 3 Nonlinearity, topological charges and quantum currents

Plan

- We review basic ideas leading to the universal C^* -algebras of the e.m. quantum field, and recall key properties.
- We show how non-linear deformations of the e.m. field leads to some interesting representations of the universal C^* -algebra in which non linearity is encoded in a violation of a form of regularity. In particular we construct representations with quantum currents and representations with topological charges.

The talk is based on a joint work with D.Buchholz, F.Ciulli and E.Vasselli [LMP 16] and on a work in progress with the same authors.

n-Forms on Minkowski spacetime

- *Minkowski spacetime*: \mathbb{R}^4 with signature $(+, -, -, -)$. \perp *spacelike separation*.
- \mathcal{D}_k set **smooth k -forms with compact support** in the Minkowski spacetime. f, h are **spacelike separated**, $f \perp h$, whenever

$$\text{supp}(f) \perp \text{supp}(h) .$$

- $d : \mathcal{D}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k+1}$, $d^2 = 0$ *differential operator*
- $\star : \mathcal{D}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{4-k}$, $\star\star = (-)^{k+1} id_k$ *Hodge dual*
- $\delta : \mathcal{D}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k$, $\delta := -\star d\star$ *co-differential (gen. divergence)*

$$\delta^2 = 0 \quad , \quad \square = \delta d + d\delta$$

- \mathcal{C}_k set of **co-closed k -forms (divergence-free)**: $\delta f = 0$.

- **Geometrical examples:** $f \in \mathcal{D}_0$ a test function with $0 \in \text{supp}(f)$ and a singular k -simplex $\chi : [0, 1]^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$, let f_χ be the k -form

$$f_\chi(x) := \int f(x - \chi) d\chi$$

then $\text{supp}(f_\chi) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) + \chi$ and the **Stokes theorem** reads

$$\delta f_\chi = f_{\partial\chi}$$

We call these forms **smearing chains**. Note that if χ is a cycle i.e. $\partial\chi = 0$, then $\delta f_\chi = 0$. We shall refer in this case as **smearing cycles or divergence-free forms**.

Outline

- 1 The linear e.m. quantum field
- 2 The universal C^* -algebra
- 3 Nonlinearity, topological charges and quantum currents

The e.m. quantum field and the intrinsic vector potential

The e.m. quantum field F linear mapping $F : \mathcal{D}_2 \ni h \rightarrow F(h) \in \mathcal{A}$ to some $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} s.t.

(i) Causality

$$h_1 \perp h_2 \Rightarrow [F(h_1), F(h_2)] = 0 ,$$

(ii) 1st Maxwell equation

$$dF(\tau) := F(\delta\tau) = 0 , \quad \tau \in \mathcal{D}_3 .$$

From these the 2nd Maxwell equation

$$j(f) := F(df) = \delta F(f) , \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_1$$

where $j(f)$ is the conserved current: $\delta j = 0$.

Observation: the intrinsic vector potential satisfies

$$F(h) = dA(h) = A(\delta h)$$

and δh is a divergence-free 1-form \mathcal{C}_1 . **Local Poincaré lemma:**

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_1 \Rightarrow \exists \hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2, \quad \delta \hat{f} = f.$$

The **intrinsic vector potential** is defined as

$$A(f) := F(\hat{f}), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

Observation: the intrinsic vector potential satisfies

$$F(h) = dA(h) = A(\delta h)$$

and δh is a divergence-free 1-form \mathcal{C}_1 . **Local Poincaré lemma:**

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_1 \Rightarrow \exists \hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2, \quad \delta \hat{f} = f.$$

The **intrinsic vector potential** is defined as

$$A(f) := F(\hat{f}), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

- By local Poincaré Lemma + 1st Maxwell Eq., $A(f)$ independent of the choice of the co-primitive \hat{f} .

Observation: the intrinsic vector potential satisfies

$$F(h) = dA(h) = A(\delta h)$$

and δh is a divergence-free 1-form \mathcal{C}_1 . **Local Poincaré lemma:**

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_1 \Rightarrow \exists \widehat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2, \quad \delta \widehat{f} = f.$$

The **intrinsic vector potential** is defined as

$$A(f) := F(\widehat{f}), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1.$$

- By local Poincaré Lemma + 1st Maxwell Eq., $A(f)$ independent of the choice of the co-primitive \widehat{f} .
- The vector potential induces a **(strong) causality** $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1$

$$f_1 \times f_2, \quad \exists \widehat{f}_1, \widehat{f}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2, \quad \delta \widehat{f}_1 = f_1, \quad \delta \widehat{f}_2 = f_2, \quad \widehat{f}_1 \perp \widehat{f}_2$$

The **intrinsic vector potential** is a linear mapping $\mathcal{C}_1 \ni f \mapsto A(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t.

(i) (strong) Causality

$$f_1 \times f_2 \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = 0$$

- The e.m. field $F = dA$
- The 1st Maxwell equation $dF = d^2A = 0$
- The conserved current: $j = \delta F = \delta dA$.
- Covariance: $\alpha_P : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ with $(\alpha_P f)^\mu := (Pf)^\mu \circ P^{-1}$ then

$$\alpha_P \circ A = A \circ \alpha_P, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow.$$

The **intrinsic vector potential** is a linear mapping $\mathcal{C}_1 \ni f \mapsto A(f) \in \mathcal{A}$ s.t.

(i) (strong) Causality

$$f_1 \times f_2 \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = 0$$

- The e.m. field $F = dA$
- The 1st Maxwell equation $dF = d^2A = 0$
- The conserved current: $j = \delta F = \delta dA$.
- Covariance: $\alpha_P : \mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1$ with $(\alpha_P f)^\mu := (Pf)^\mu \circ P^{-1}$ then

$$\alpha_P \circ A = A \circ \alpha_P, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow.$$

Basic question: understand strong causality

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow \\ ? \\ \longrightarrow \end{array} f_1 \times f_2$$

Stronger (easier to handle) condition

- (i) for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and any open $U \supset \text{supp}(f)$ there exists a coprimitive \widehat{f} of f with $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset U$.
- (ii) $\perp \Rightarrow \times$

Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Stronger (easier to handle) condition

- (i) for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and any open $U \supset \text{supp}(f)$ there exists a coprimitive \widehat{f} of f with $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset U$.
- (ii) $\perp \Rightarrow \times$

Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Counterexample to (i): $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$ with $\int g \neq 0$ and a closed curve γ

$$g_\gamma^\mu(x) := \int_0^1 g(x - \gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) \, dt, \quad g_\gamma^\mu \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

Stronger (easier to handle) condition

- (i) for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ and any open $U \supset \text{supp}(f)$ there exists a coprimitive \widehat{f} of f with $\text{supp}(\widehat{f}) \subset U$.
- (ii) $\perp \Rightarrow \times$

Then (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Counterexample to (i): $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$ with $\int g \neq 0$ and a closed curve γ

$$g_\gamma^\mu(x) := \int_0^1 g(x - \gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) \, dt, \quad g_\gamma^\mu \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

Cohomological obstruction:

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \text{supp}(f)) \stackrel{\text{de Rham}}{\cong} H_2(\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \text{supp}(f)) \stackrel{\text{Alexander Duality}}{\cong} H^1(\text{supp}(f)).$$

So what can be said about the above relation ?

Causal Poincaré Lemma and centrality

Causal Poincaré Lemma: given a double cone \mathcal{O} and $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $\text{supp}(f) \perp \mathcal{O}$, there is $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $\delta\hat{f} = f$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \perp \mathcal{O}$.

Strongest invariance result

Causal Poincaré Lemma and centrality

Causal Poincaré Lemma: given a double cone \mathcal{O} and $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $\text{supp}(f) \perp \mathcal{O}$, there is $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $\delta\hat{f} = f$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \perp \mathcal{O}$.

Strongest invariance result

Cohomological invariance: if $f_1 \perp f_2$ then $[A(f_1), A(f_2)]$ is independent of co-cohomology class of f_1 w.r.t. the causal complement of $\text{supp}(f_2)$ i.e.

$$h \in \mathcal{D}_2, \delta h = f_1 - f, \text{supp}(h) \perp \text{supp}(f_2) \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = [A(f), A(f_2)]$$

Causal Poincaré Lemma and centrality

Causal Poincaré Lemma: given a double cone \mathcal{O} and $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $\text{supp}(f) \perp \mathcal{O}$, there is $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $\delta\hat{f} = f$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \perp \mathcal{O}$.

Strongest invariance result

Cohomological invariance: if $f_1 \perp f_2$ then $[A(f_1), A(f_2)]$ is independent of co-cohomology class of f_1 w.r.t. the causal complement of $\text{supp}(f_2)$ i.e.

$$h \in \mathcal{D}_2, \delta h = f_1 - f, \text{supp}(h) \perp \text{supp}(f_2) \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = [A(f), A(f_2)]$$

- Translation invariance

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [A(f_{1,x}), A(f_{2,x})] = [A(f_1), A(f_2)], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

- Dilation invariance

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [A(\tau_\lambda(f_1)), A(\tau_\lambda(f_2))] = \lambda^{-6} [A(f_1), A(f_2)], \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

Causal Poincaré Lemma and centrality

Causal Poincaré Lemma: given a double cone \mathcal{O} and $f \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $\text{supp}(f) \perp \mathcal{O}$, there is $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with $\delta\hat{f} = f$ and $\text{supp}(\hat{f}) \perp \mathcal{O}$.

Strongest invariance result

Cohomological invariance: if $f_1 \perp f_2$ then $[A(f_1), A(f_2)]$ is independent of co-cohomology class of f_1 w.r.t. the causal complement of $\text{supp}(f_2)$ i.e.

$$h \in \mathcal{D}_2, \delta h = f_1 - f, \text{supp}(h) \perp \text{supp}(f_2) \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = [A(f), A(f_2)]$$

- Translation invariance

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [A(f_{1,x}), A(f_{2,x})] = [A(f_1), A(f_2)], \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

- Dilation invariance

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [A(\tau_\lambda(f_1)), A(\tau_\lambda(f_2))] = \lambda^{-6} [A(f_1), A(f_2)], \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

- Centrality (topological charges ?) by translation invariance

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [[A(f_1), A(f_2)], A(f)] = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

Outline

- 1 The linear e.m. quantum field
- 2 The universal C^* -algebra
- 3 Nonlinearity, topological charges and quantum currents

The universal C^* -algebra of the e.m. quantum field

Let \mathcal{U} be the group generated by $U : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}_1 \ni (a, f) \rightarrow U(a, f)$ s.t.

- (i) $U(a, f)^* = U(-a, f)$, $U(0, f) = 1$, $U(a, f) U(b, f) = U(a + b, f)$;
- (ii) $f_1 \times f_2 \Rightarrow U(a_1, f_1) U(a_2, f_2) = U(1, a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2)$;
- (iii) $f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [U(a, f), [U(a_1, f_1), U(a_2, f_2)]] = 1$

where $[,]$ is the group commutator. The Poincaré group acts on \mathcal{U} : $P(a, f) := (a, Pf)$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$. The **universal C^* -algebra of the e.m. field** \mathfrak{U} is the full group C^* -algebra of \mathcal{U} .

The universal C^* -algebra of the e.m. quantum field

Let \mathcal{U} be the group generated by $U : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{C}_1 \ni (a, f) \rightarrow U(a, f)$ s.t.

- (i) $U(a, f)^* = U(-a, f)$, $U(0, f) = 1$, $U(a, f) U(b, f) = U(a + b, f)$;
- (ii) $f_1 \times f_2 \Rightarrow U(a_1, f_1) U(a_2, f_2) = U(1, a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2)$;
- (iii) $f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [U(a, f), [U(a_1, f_1), U(a_2, f_2)]] = 1$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the group commutator. The Poincaré group acts on \mathcal{U} : $P(a, f) := (a, Pf)$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$. The **universal C^* -algebra of the e.m. field** \mathfrak{U} is the full group C^* -algebra of \mathcal{U} .

The correspondence $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O})$ where \mathcal{O} double cone and

$$\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O}) := C^* \{ U(a, f) , \text{supp}(f) \subset \mathcal{O} \} \subset \mathfrak{U}$$

is a net of C^* -algebras which satisfying the Haag-Kastler axioms

- $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ (Isotony)
- $\mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2 \Rightarrow [\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O}_1), \mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O}_2)] = 0$ (Causality)
- $\alpha_P(\mathfrak{U}(\mathcal{O})) = \mathfrak{U}(P\mathcal{O})$, $P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$ (Covariance)

excepts *Primitivity*: there is a non-trivial center.

Meaningful states and representations

A state ω of the algebra \mathfrak{U} is

- regular (strongly regular) if

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto \omega(U(a_1, g_1) \cdots U(a_n, g_n))$$

are continuous (smooth with tempered derivatives at 0)

- verifies condition L if it is strongly regular and

$$\frac{d}{da} \omega(V U(a, g_1) U(a, g_2) U(a, -g_1 - g_2) W) \Big|_{a=0} = 0$$

Note that

- ω strongly regular, $(\Omega, \pi, \mathcal{H})$ GNS of ω , There exist selfadjoint operators $A_\pi(f)$ with common stable core $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that $\pi(U(a, f)) = e^{iaA_\pi(f)}$.
- property L, $a_1 A_\pi(f_1) + a_2 A_\pi(f_2) = A_\pi(a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2)$ on \mathcal{D}

Vacuum state ω : pure Poincaré invariant state of \mathfrak{U} s.t.

- $\mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \ni P \rightarrow \omega(A_{\alpha_P}(B))$ continuous ;
- $\mathbb{R}^4 \ni p \rightarrow \int e^{ipx} \omega(A_{\alpha_x}(B)) d^4x \in \overline{V}_+$ (spectral condition)

Consequences:

- (i) Any vacuum state ω is determined by the generating functional

$$f \mapsto \omega(U(1, f)) , \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1 ,$$

(analyticity and EOW theorem)

- (ii) Any vacuum state that satisfies property L, fixes the correlation functions of F satisfying all the Wightman axioms.

Vacuum state ω : pure Poincaré invariant state of \mathfrak{U} s.t.

- $\mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow \ni P \rightarrow \omega(A_{\alpha_P}(B))$ continuous ;
- $\mathbb{R}^4 \ni p \rightarrow \int e^{ipx} \omega(A_{\alpha_x}(B)) d^4x \in \overline{V}_+$ (spectral condition)

Consequences:

- (i) Any vacuum state ω is determined by the generating functional

$$f \mapsto \omega(U(1, f)) , \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1 ,$$

(analyticity and EOW theorem)

- (ii) Any vacuum state that satisfies property L, fixes the correlation functions of F satisfying all the Wightman axioms.

Applications: ω vacuum state satisfying the property L.

- **Zero current, $j = 0$** (recall $j_\pi(f) = A_\pi(\delta df)$), then

$$\omega_0(U(1, f)) = e^{-c\langle f, f \rangle} , \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

the free electromagnetic field in Fock representation with $c > 0$

- **Classical current (central current)**, then

$$\omega(U(1, f)) = e^{ij_\pi(G_0(f))} \omega_0(U(1, f))$$

where G_0 Green's function of \square .

Outline

- 1 The linear e.m. quantum field
- 2 The universal C^* -algebra
- 3 Nonlinearity, topological charges and quantum currents

Topological charges

Aim: we have seen that

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [U(1, f_1), U(1, f_2)] \text{ is central}$$

we want to construct representations of \mathfrak{U} where these central elements are not trivial.



One could try to find a causal symplectic form which is not trivial on on some pair $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $f_1 \perp f_2$.

Topological charges

Aim: we have seen that

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [U(1, f_1), U(1, f_2)] \text{ is central}$$

we want to construct representations of \mathfrak{L} where these central elements are not trivial.



One could try to find a causal symplectic form which is not trivial on on some pair $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}_1$ with $f_1 \perp f_2$.

CONJECTURE: we believe that

$$f_1 \perp f_2 \Rightarrow [A(f_1), A(f_2)] = 0$$

This clearly would imply there does not exist any causal **linear** symplectic form which is not trivial on pair f_1, f_2 with $f_1 \perp f_2$.

Results supporting the conjecture

The conjecture is verified in a vacuum representation (by K-L representation).

Results supporting the conjecture

The conjecture is verified in a vacuum representation (by K-L representation).

General case: partial results from Cohomological invariance.

- Consider a smearing cycle g_γ . i.e. $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$, γ closed curve, and $g_\gamma(x) = \int_0^1 g(x - \gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) dt$. The Poincaré group has a **geometrical action** on smearing cycles

$$(P, g_\gamma) \mapsto g_{P\gamma}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$$

which, by cohomological invariance, leaves invariant

$$[A(g_{P\gamma}), A(g'_{P\gamma'})] = [A(g_\gamma), A(g'_{\gamma'})], \quad g_\gamma \perp g'_{\gamma'}$$

- γ the circumference $(y+1)^2 + z^2 = 4$ and β the circumference $x^2 + (y-1)^2 = 4$ there is $P \in \mathcal{P}_+^\uparrow$ s.t.

$$P\gamma = \beta, \quad P\beta = \gamma.$$

So for g s.t. $g_\gamma \perp g_\beta$ we have

$$[A(g_\gamma), A(g_\beta)] = [A(g_\beta), A(g_\gamma)] \Rightarrow [A(g_\beta), A(g_\gamma)] = 0$$

Main result: Given $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Let \mathcal{O} be a double cone and γ a closed simple curve s.t.

(i) $H_1(\mathcal{O} + \gamma) \cong H_1(\gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$

(ii) $\text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset \mathcal{O} + \gamma$

If $\text{supp}(f) \perp (\mathcal{O} + \gamma)$ then $[A(f), A(\tilde{f})] = 0$.

Main result: Given $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Let \mathcal{O} be a double cone and γ a closed simple curve s.t.

(i) $H_1(\mathcal{O} + \gamma) \cong H_1(\gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$

(ii) $\text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset \mathcal{O} + \gamma$

If $\text{supp}(f) \perp (\mathcal{O} + \gamma)$ then $[A(f), A(\tilde{f})] = 0$.

- This clearly **does not prove the conjecture**, because of the restriction given by $\mathcal{O} + \gamma$.

Main result: Given $f, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_1$. Let \mathcal{O} be a double cone and γ a closed simple curve s.t.

(i) $H_1(\mathcal{O} + \gamma) \cong H_1(\gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$

(ii) $\text{supp}(\tilde{f}) \subset \mathcal{O} + \gamma$

If $\text{supp}(f) \perp (\mathcal{O} + \gamma)$ then $[A(f), A(\tilde{f})] = 0$.

- This clearly **does not prove the conjecture**, because of the restriction given by $\mathcal{O} + \gamma$.
- Anyway, the conjecture, if verified, implies that the **non-triviality** of $[A(f_1), A(f_2)]$ for $f_1 \perp f_2$ is in **contradiction with linearity**.

The example from non-linearity

- Given a 2-form h define

$$H^{\mu\nu} := \int h^{\mu\nu}(x) d^4x \quad , \quad H^2 := H^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} .$$

H^2 is an invariant and we say that h is of **Electric** type whenever $H^2 > 0$ and of **Magnetic type** if $H^2 < 0$.

The example from non-linearity

- Given a 2-form h define

$$H^{\mu\nu} := \int h^{\mu\nu}(x) d^4x \quad , \quad H^2 := H^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} .$$

H^2 is an invariant and we say that h is of **Electric** type whenever $H^2 > 0$ and of **Magnetic type** if $H^2 < 0$.

- Let F_0 be the free e.m. quantum field. If $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ **has connected support** we define

$$F(h) := \theta_+(H^2)F_0(h) + \theta_-(H^2)F_0(\star h)$$

and 0 whenever $H^2 = 0$. θ_+ step function and $\theta_- = 1 - \theta_+$.

The example from non-linearity

- Given a 2-form h define

$$H^{\mu\nu} := \int h^{\mu\nu}(x) d^4x \quad , \quad H^2 := H^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu} .$$

H^2 is an invariant and we say that h is of **Electric** type whenever $H^2 > 0$ and of **Magnetic type** if $H^2 < 0$.

- Let F_0 be the free e.m. quantum field. If $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ **has connected support** we define

$$F(h) := \theta_+(H^2)F_0(h) + \theta_-(H^2)F_0(\star h)$$

and 0 whenever $H^2 = 0$. θ_+ step function and $\theta_- = 1 - \theta_+$.

- for any $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_2$ with connected support

$$\begin{aligned} [F(h_1), F(h_2)] &= \left(\theta_+(H_1^2)\theta_+(H_2^2) - \theta_-(H_1^2)\theta_-(H_2^2) \right) \cdot [h_0(h_1), h_0(h_2)] + \\ &\quad - \left(\theta_+(H_1^2)\theta_-(H_2^2) - \theta_-(H_1^2)\theta_+(H_2^2) \right) [h_0(h_1), h_0(\star h_2)] \end{aligned}$$

- If $h_1 \perp h_2$ then

$$[F(h_1), F(h_2)] = - \left(\theta_+(H_1^2)\theta_-(H_2^2) - \theta_-(H_1^2)\theta_+(H_2^2) \right) [F_0(h_1), F_0(\star h_2)]$$

- If $h_1 \perp h_2$ then

$$[F(h_1), F(h_2)] = - \left(\theta_+(H_1^2)\theta_-(H_2^2) - \theta_-(H_1^2)\theta_+(H_2^2) \right) [F_0(h_1), F_0(\star h_2)]$$

- Roberts shown that

$$[F_0(g_{\sigma_1}), F_0(\star g_{\sigma_1})] = c \cdot \mathbb{1} , \quad c \neq 0$$

for smearing chains where σ_1, σ_2 surfaces with spacelike separated linked boundaries $\partial\sigma_1$ and $\partial\sigma_2$ and laying in the subspace $t = 0$. So they are all of magnetic type and $[F(g_{\sigma_1}), F(g_{\sigma_2})] = 0$

- If $h_1 \perp h_2$ then

$$[F(h_1), F(h_2)] = - \left(\theta_+(H_1^2)\theta_-(H_2^2) - \theta_-(H_1^2)\theta_+(H_2^2) \right) [F_0(h_1), F_0(\star h_2)]$$

- Roberts shown that

$$[F_0(g_{\sigma_1}), F_0(\star g_{\sigma_1})] = c \cdot \mathbb{1} \quad , \quad c \neq 0$$

for smearing chains where σ_1, σ_2 surfaces with spacelike separated linked boundaries $\partial\sigma_1$ and $\partial\sigma_2$ and laying in the subspace $t = 0$. So they are all of magnetic type and $[F(g_{\sigma_1}), F(g_{\sigma_2})] = 0$

- However we can take $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ of electric type such that $h + g_{\sigma_2}$ is of electric type and $h \perp g_{\sigma_1}$. Then

$$[F(g_{\sigma_1}), F(h + g_{\sigma_2})] = -[F_0(g_{\sigma_1}), F_0(\star h + \star g_{\sigma_2})] = [F_0(g_{\sigma_1}), F_0(\star g_{\sigma_2})] = c\mathbb{1}.$$

and we have central elements.

- If h has an (infinite) countable connected components $\{h_k\}$ we have

$$h^\# = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \# h_k \right) \in \mathcal{D}_2, \quad \# := \begin{cases} id, & H^2 > 0 \\ \star, & H^2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

and note that $(h_1 + h_2)^\# = h_1^\# + h_2^\#$ if h_1, h_2 have disjoint supports. Setting

$$F(h) := F_0(h^\#), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{D}_2 -$$

Clearly F is **not linear** but $F(h_1 + h_2) = F(h_1) + F(h_2)$ if h_1, h_2 have disjoint supports.

Thm. Let

$$U(a, f) := \exp(iF(a\hat{f})) = \exp(iaA(f)), \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_1, \delta\hat{f} = f$$

Then $U(a, f)$ is covariant, homogeneous $U(a, f) = U(1, af)$, and

- (i) $U(a, f_1) U(b, f_2) = U(1, af_1 + bf_2)$ if whenever $f_1 \times f_2$
- (ii) $[U(1, f_1), U(1, f_2)]$, with $f_1 \perp f_2$, is central and not trivial.

However *property L is violated*. The local algebras are the same as those generated by the free theory: $U_0(a, f) := \exp(iF_0(a\hat{f}))$.

Quantum Currents (in progress)

- Let $\mathcal{B}_2 := d(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$, the set of 2-boundaries.

Quantum Currents (in progress)

- Let $\mathcal{B}_2 := d(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$, the set of 2-boundaries.
- Let $f \mapsto j(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$, be a conserved current: $\delta j(g) = j(dg) = 0$ for any $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$. If $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ has connected support define

$$F(h) := \begin{cases} j(f), & h = df \\ j(\delta h), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Definition independent of the choice of primitive: if $df_1 = h$, the $d(f - f_1) = 0$.
Local Poincaré Lemma

$$\exists g \in \mathcal{D}_0, dg = f - f_1 \Rightarrow j(f) = j(f_1 - dg) = j(f_1) - \delta j(g) \stackrel{\text{conservation law}}{=} j(f_1)$$

Moreover if h is localized in a double cone \mathcal{O} we can find a primitive localized in \mathcal{O} .

Quantum Currents (in progress)

- Let $\mathcal{B}_2 := d(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$, the set of 2-boundaries.
- Let $f \mapsto j(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$, be a conserved current: $\delta j(g) = j(dg) = 0$ for any $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$. If $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ has connected support define

$$F(h) := \begin{cases} j(f), & h = df \\ j(\delta h), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Definition independent of the choice of primitive: if $df_1 = h$, the $d(f - f_1) = 0$.
Local Poincaré Lemma

$$\exists g \in \mathcal{D}_0, dg = f - f_1 \Rightarrow j(f) = j(f_1 - dg) = j(f_1) - \delta j(g) \stackrel{\text{conservation law}}{=} j(f_1)$$

Moreover if h is localized in a double cone \mathcal{O} we can find a primitive localized in \mathcal{O} .

- **1st Maxwell equation**: let $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_3$, note that $\delta\tau \notin \mathcal{B}_2$ otherwise $\square\delta\tau = 0$ impossible since $\delta\tau$ has compact support. Hence

$$dF(\tau) = F(\delta\tau) = j(\delta^2\tau) = 0$$

Quantum Currents (in progress)

- Let $\mathcal{B}_2 := d(\mathcal{D}_1) \subset \mathcal{D}_2$, the set of 2-boundaries.
- Let $f \mapsto j(f)$, $f \in \mathcal{D}_1$, be a conserved current: $\delta j(g) = j(dg) = 0$ for any $g \in \mathcal{D}_0$. If $h \in \mathcal{D}_2$ has connected support define

$$F(h) := \begin{cases} j(f), & h = df \\ j(\delta h), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Definition independent of the choice of primitive: if $df_1 = h$, the $d(f - f_1) = 0$.
Local Poincaré Lemma

$$\exists g \in \mathcal{D}_0, dg = f - f_1 \Rightarrow j(f) = j(f_1 - dg) = j(f_1) - \delta j(g) \stackrel{\text{conservation law}}{=} j(f_1)$$

Moreover if h is localized in a double cone \mathcal{O} we can find a primitive localized in \mathcal{O} .

- **1st Maxwell equation:** let $\tau \in \mathcal{D}_3$, note that $\delta\tau \notin \mathcal{B}_2$ otherwise $\square\delta\tau = 0$ impossible since $\delta\tau$ has compact support. Hence

$$dF(\tau) = F(\delta\tau) = j(\delta^2\tau) = 0$$

- **2nd Maxwell equation:**

$$\delta F(f) = F(df) = j(f) \quad f \in \mathcal{D}_1$$

- If h is a sum of finitely many 2-forms h_k having disjoint connected supports

$$F(h) := \sum_k F(h_k)$$

- If h is a sum of finitely many 2-forms h_k having disjoint connected supports

$$F(h) := \sum_k F(h_k)$$

Defining

$$U(a, f) := \exp(iaF(\hat{f})), \quad \delta\hat{f} = f$$

then U is covariant, homogeneous and

$$U(a, f_1) U(b, f_2) = U(1, af_1 + bf_2), \quad \text{supp}(f_1) \subset \mathcal{O}_1, \text{supp}(f_2) \subset \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$$

Property L is violated in general.

- If h is a sum of finitely many 2-forms h_k having disjoint connected supports

$$F(h) := \sum_k F(h_k)$$

Defining

$$U(a, f) := \exp(iaF(\hat{f})), \quad \delta\hat{f} = f$$

then U is covariant, homogeneous and

$$U(a, f_1) U(b, f_2) = U(1, af_1 + bf_2), \quad \text{supp}(f_1) \subset \mathcal{O}_1, \text{supp}(f_2) \subset \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_1 \perp \mathcal{O}_2$$

Property L is violated in general.

THANK YOU !!