

Tensor-stable Positive Maps

Shin Ho Choe

January 31, 2020

This is a summary note for the course *Advanced Seminar Course: Research Seminar: Open Problems in Quantum Information* in WiSe 19/20 at TUM.

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1. A linear map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ is *n-tensor-stable positive* for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if the map $\mathcal{P}^{\otimes n} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2^n}$ is positive. A linear map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ is *tensor-stable positive* if \mathcal{P} is *n-tensor-stable* for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

All completely positive maps and completely co-positive maps are tensor-stable positive. We call these maps *trivial tensor-stable positive maps*. We are interested in the existence of the non-trivial tensor-stable positive maps.

2 Partial Answers

Theorem 2.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_1, d_2 \geq 2$, there exists a non-trivial *n-tensor-stable positive map* $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$.

sketch of proof. We need a lemma: for a separable matrix $P \in \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$, define

$$\mu_P = \min\{ \langle \xi | P | \xi \rangle : \xi = \phi \otimes \psi \text{ where } \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_1}, \psi \in \mathbb{C}^{d_2}, \text{ and } \|\phi\| = \|\psi\| = 1 \}. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$\min\{ \langle \Xi | P^{\otimes n} | \Xi \rangle : \Xi = \Phi \otimes \Psi \text{ where } \Phi \in (\mathbb{C}^{d_1})^{\otimes n}, \Psi \in (\mathbb{C}^{d_2})^{\otimes n}, \text{ and } \|\Phi\| = \|\Psi\| = 1 \} = \mu_P^n \quad (2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define

$$P := (|1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2|) (\langle 1| \langle 1| + \langle 2| \langle 2|) + |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 2| \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| + \sum_{i>2 \text{ or } j>2} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j\rangle\langle j| \in \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d_2}. \quad (3)$$

Then P is separable and $\mu_P = \frac{1}{2}$. From the lemma, we have

$$\langle \Psi \otimes \Phi | (P - \epsilon \mathbb{1}_{d_1} \mathbb{1}_{d_2})^{\otimes n} | \Psi \otimes \Phi \rangle \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

for any $\epsilon \in \left[0, \sqrt[n]{2^n + (\frac{1}{2})^n} - 2\right]$. Choose any $\epsilon \in \left(0, \sqrt[n]{2^n + (\frac{1}{2})^n} - 2\right]$. Then the corresponding super operator $\mathcal{P}_\epsilon^{\otimes n}$ is positive, i.e., \mathcal{P}_ϵ is *n-tensor-stable positive*. Since P and its partial transpose are not full-rank, they are not positive. This implies that \mathcal{P}_ϵ is neither completely positive nor completely co-positive. \square

We can reduce the existence problem of a non-trivial tensor-stable positive map by restricting the candidates.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \in \{d_1, d_2\}$, and for $p \in [-1, 1]$, let*

$$\mathcal{P}_p := \mathcal{W}_p \otimes (\vartheta_d \circ \mathcal{W}_p) : \mathcal{M}_d \otimes \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d \otimes \mathcal{M}_d, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_p : \mathcal{M}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d, \mathcal{W}_p(X) := \frac{1}{d^2 - 1} ((d - p) \text{Tr}(X) \mathbb{1}_d - (1 - dp) X^T) \text{ for } X \in \mathcal{M}_d. \quad (6)$$

If there exists a non-trivial tensor-stable map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$, then \mathcal{P}_p is tensor-stable positive for some $p \in [-1, 0)$. If \mathcal{P}_p is tensor-stable positive for some $p \in [-1, 0)$, then it is non-trivial tensor-stable positive.

3 Implications

3.1 The existence of an NPPT bound-entangled state

Theorem 3.1. *If there exists a non-trivial tensor-stable positive map $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$, then there exist NPPT bound-entangled states in $\mathcal{M}_{d_1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{d_2} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$.*

3.2 New bounds on the quantum capacity

Definition 3.2. $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is called an *achievable rate* of a quantum channel $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ if there exist sequences $(n_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}, (m_\nu)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $R = \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_\nu \log_2(d)}{m_\nu}$ and

$$\inf_{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \text{id}_d^{\otimes n_\nu} - \mathcal{D} \circ \mathcal{T}^{\otimes m_\nu} \circ \mathcal{E} \right\|_\diamond \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \nu \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

where the infimum is over all quantum channels $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{M}_d^{\otimes n_\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_1}^{\otimes m_\nu}$ and $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{M}_d^{\otimes m_\nu} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}^{\otimes n_\nu}$. The *quantum capacity* of a quantum channel $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{T}) := \sup \{ R \in \mathbb{R}^+ : R \text{ is an achievable rate.} \} \quad (8)$$

It was known that for any quantum channel $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$, we have a *transposition bound* [Holevo, Werner, 2001]

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \log_2(\|\vartheta_{d_2} \circ \mathcal{T}\|_\diamond). \quad (9)$$

This bound can be generalized if there exists a surjective, unital and tensor-stable positive map, but not a completely co-positive map.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{M}_{d_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ be a quantum channel and $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}_{d_3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{d_2}$ be a surjective, unital and tensor-stable positive map. Let \mathcal{P}^{-1} be any right inverse of \mathcal{P} . Then*

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \frac{\log_2(\|\mathcal{P}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{T}\|_\diamond \|\mathcal{P}^*(\mathbb{1}_{d_2})\|_\infty) \log_2(d_2)}{\log_2(\|\mathcal{P}^*\|_\diamond)}. \quad (10)$$

Note that $\|\vartheta_d^*\|_\diamond = \|\vartheta_d\|_\diamond$ and

$$\frac{\|(\text{id}_d \otimes \vartheta_d)\omega_d\|_1}{\|\omega_d\|_1} = \frac{\|\frac{1}{d}\mathbb{F}_d\|_1}{\|\omega_d\|_1} = d, \quad (11)$$

so we get

$$\|\vartheta_d\|_\diamond \geq d. \quad (12)$$

By plugging $\mathcal{P} = \vartheta_{d_2}$ and (12) to Theorem 3.3, we can get the transposition bound.

References

- [1] Alexander Müller-Hermes, David Reeb, and Michael M. Wolf. Positivity of linear maps under tensor powers. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(1):015202, 2016.
- [2] A. S. Holevo and R. F. Werner. Evaluating capacities of bosonic gaussian channels. *Physical Review A*, 63(3):032312, 2001.