



## Differential Topology: Exercise Sheet 1

**Exercises** (for Oct. 24th and 25th)

### 1.1 Examples for quotient topologies

Draw the following topological spaces:

- (a) Let  $V := [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the subspace topology and  $\sim$  the equivalence relation such that

$$(x, 0) \sim (x, 1) \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]$$

and all other  $(x, t)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$  are only equivalent to themselves. Consider  $V/\sim$ .

- (b) Let  $W := [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the subspace topology and  $\sim$  the equivalence relation such that

$$(x, 0) \sim (-x, 1) \quad \forall x \in [-1, 1]$$

and all other  $(x, t)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$  are only equivalent to themselves. Consider  $W/\sim$ .

- (c) Define the group action  $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  as  $(m, n) \cdot (x, y) \mapsto (x + m, y + n)$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $\mathbb{R}^2/(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ . By this, we mean the quotient space of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with respect to the equivalence relation

$$(u, v) \sim (x, y) \text{ if } \exists (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } (m, n) \cdot (u, v) = (x, y) \text{ for } (x, y), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

- (d) Identify  $S^1 \simeq \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ . Let  $Y := S^1 \times [0, 1]$  and  $\sim$  the equivalence relation such that

$$(z, 0) \sim (\bar{z}, 1) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and all other  $(z, t)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$  are only equivalent to themselves. Consider  $Y/\sim$ .

*Solution:*

- (a) Cylinder
- (b) Moebius strip
- (c) Torus
- (d) Klein bottle

### 1.2 Hausdorff property of quotient spaces

In this exercise you will show that the Hausdorff separation property of a given topological space does generally not extend to a quotient space thereof.

Consider  $X := [-1, 1] \times \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the subspace topology (inherited from the natural topology on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) that is Hausdorff and the equivalence relation

$$(x, 0) \sim (x, 1) \quad \forall x > 0. \quad (1)$$

Show that the quotient space  $X/\sim$  with the quotient topology is not Hausdorff.

*Solution:* Set  $x = (0, 0)$  and  $y = (0, 1)$ . Consider the disjoint points  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  in the quotient space  $X/\sim$ . Let  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  be open neighborhoods of  $[x]$  and  $[y]$ , respectively. Their pre-images (under the quotient map  $q$ ) are open subsets of  $X$  and hence we can find open balls (the open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) centered around the points  $x$  and  $y$  with radii  $\epsilon_x$  and  $\epsilon_y$ , respectively, such that  $B_{\epsilon_x}(x) \cap X \subset q^{-1}(U_x)$  and  $B_{\epsilon_y}(y) \cap X \subset q^{-1}(U_y)$ . Now choose  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\epsilon < \epsilon_x$  and  $\epsilon < \epsilon_y$ , then

$$(\epsilon, 0) \in B_{\epsilon_x}(x) \cap X \subset q^{-1}(U_x) \quad , \quad (\epsilon, 1) \in B_{\epsilon_y}(y) \cap X \subset q^{-1}(U_y) . \quad (2)$$

In  $X/\sim$ , the elements  $[(\epsilon, 0)] \in U_x$  and  $[(\epsilon, 1)] \in U_y$ . Due to the equivalence relation, we have  $(\epsilon, 0) \sim (\epsilon, 1)$  and therefore  $[(\epsilon, 0)] = [(\epsilon, 1)] \in U_x \cap U_y$ .

### 1.3 Another basic notion from topology

Show that a topological space  $X$  is connected if and only if every continuous  $g : X \mapsto \{0, 1\}$  is constant, where  $\{0, 1\}$  has the discrete topology. *Note that continuity of a map between two topological spaces will be treated in the lecture on Oct. 22nd.*

*Solution:* Let  $X$  be connected, assume that there is a continuous non-constant  $g : X \mapsto \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $U = g^{-1}(\{0\})$ ,  $V = g^{-1}(\{1\})$  are open, disjoint and their union is  $X$ , which is a contradiction. Assume now that every continuous  $g : X \mapsto \{0, 1\}$  is constant and suppose that  $X$  is not connected. Then there are disjoint open  $U, V \subset X$  such that their union is  $X$  and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in U \\ 1 & x \in V \end{cases}$$

is a continuous function, a contradiction.

### 1.4 Infinitude of prime numbers

In this exercise you will show that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Consider the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  and its affine subsets  $S_{(a,b)} := \{an + b | n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = a\mathbb{Z} + b$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \neq 0$ . We define the open sets as those that are either empty or arbitrary unions or finite intersections of sets  $S_{(a,b)} = \{an + b | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Show that:

- This defines a topology on  $\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- No non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is open; *Hint: show that every intersection of two – or finitely many – affine subsets contains an (infinite) affine subset;*
- Each affine subset  $S_{(a,b)} = \{an + b | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is both open and closed;
- There are infinitely many prime numbers by analyzing the set

$$S := \bigcup_p S_{(p,0)} \quad \text{where } p \text{ prime} \quad , \quad (3)$$

and using the above properties (b) and (c) of the topology.

*Solution:*

This is a proof originally introduced by Fürstenberg.

- The empty set is in the topology by definition. The whole set can be written as  $\mathbb{Z} = S_{(1,0)}$  and hence also is. Unions and finite intersections of sets  $S_{(a,b)}$  are open by definition.
- Assume that the intersection of affine sets  $S_{(a_i,b_i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  is non-empty. Then

there is an  $x \in S_{(a_i, b_i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . In other words, we can find  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x = a_i n_i + b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . For some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let us define  $y_n = n a_1 \cdots a_k$ , i.e. it is a multiple of the  $a_i$ , then  $x + y_n \in S_{(a_i, b_i)}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since this holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we found an affine (therefore infinite) subset  $S_{(a_1 \dots a_k, x)}$  of all sets  $S_{(a_i, b_i)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . As a consequence any (non-empty) open set contains an affine subset and hence is infinite. In other words, no non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is open.

(c) Let us consider an affine set  $S_{(a, b)}$  that is open by definition. Its complement is open since it can be written as the union of affine and hence open sets, i.e. as

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus S_{(a, b)} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{a-1} S_{(a, b+j)}. \quad (4)$$

Hence  $S_{(a, b)}$  is also closed.

(d) Assume that the number of primes is finite, i.e. there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the prime numbers are  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . Then the set  $S$  would be a finite union of the sets  $S_{(p_1, 0)}, \dots, S_{(p_m, 0)}$ . Consider the complement of  $S$  that can be written as

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus S = (\mathbb{Z} \setminus S_{(p_1, 0)}) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathbb{Z} \setminus S_{(p_m, 0)}) \quad (5)$$

which is a finite intersection of the sets  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S_{(p_1, 0)}, \dots, \mathbb{Z} \setminus S_{(p_m, 0)}$ . Since the affine sets  $S_{(p_1, 0)}, \dots, S_{(p_m, 0)}$  are closed due to property (c), their complements are open. Hence  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus S$  is open as the finite intersection of open sets. Its complement  $S$  is therefore closed.

On the other hand, the complement of  $S$  is the set  $\{-1, 1\}$  (any other integer can be written as  $n \cdot p$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p$  prime due to prime factoring). This is a finite non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Property (b) hence implies that it cannot be open. Therefore its complement, the set  $S = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$ , cannot be closed which is a contradiction.